Peer group evaluation

Name of the peer group:

**Report’s review:**

This review should have these sections:

**Summary:**

Summarizes the repair work report compactly. This needs to be only 1-3 sentences, but it demonstrates that you understand the report and, moreover, can summarize it more briefly than the group in their abstract.

**Major comments:**

* + Discuss the group's approach, results, analysis, conclusions, reference, etc.
  + Does the report correctly show the different parts of the repair work?
  + What could have been done differently?
  + What could be done to improve the repair work report?
  + What are the awakened questions of the report?

**Minor comments:**

This section contains comments on style, figures, grammar, etc.

**Comments about the presentation:**

In addition to the report’s review, each opponent should evaluate the presentation of the group that they are opponent for.

* Was the presentation accurately following the title and abstract and stays on topic?
* Was the presentation well structured, following logically and with good transition between the sections?
* Improvement of the presentation
* Were the results like the results of report?
* How was the clarity of speaking and the timing of presentation?
* How about answering questions at the end, were questions answered accurately, clearly, effectively?

**Suggested grade (0~5):**

Finally, each opponent should suggest a grade for the written report and oral presentation. These grades should be according to the evaluation matrix of the course. This peer reviewed grade will be utilized for 20 points in stage 2 and 10 points in stage 3 for your reviewed group.